
CORRECTION



CrossMark



S. P. J. M. (Serge) Horbach^{*} and W. (Willem) Halffman

Correction to: Research Integrity and Peer Review (2018) 3:8 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-018-0051-5

Following publication of this article [1] it was brought to our attention that we omitted to provide credit for Table 1. While the content of the table and the systematization of blinding in review have been referenced in the text as coming from [2], the credit line for Table 1 should have been added as follows: "Reproduced with permission from [2] licensed under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 License". The original publication of this article has been corrected accordingly.

Received: 23 October 2018 Accepted: 23 October 2018 Published online: 14 November 2018

References

- Horbach SPJM, Halffman W. The changing forms and expectations of peer review. Res Integ Peer Rev. 2018;3:8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-018-0051-5.
- Pontille D, Torny D. The blind shall see! The question of anonymity in journal peer review. Ada J Gend New Med Technol. 2014;4. https://doi.org/ 10.7264/N3542KVW.

* Correspondence: s.horbach@science.ru.nl

Faculty of Science, Institute for Science in Society, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, Nijmegen 6500, GL, The Netherlands



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which pernits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.